1 2 3 4 5 6	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVA Michael T. Lifrak (Bar No. 232430) michaellifrak@quinnemanuel.com Jeanine Zalduendo (Bar No. 243374) jeaninezalduendo@quinnemanuel.com 865 South Figueroa Street, 10 th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017-2543 Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVA Alex Spiro (pro hac vice forthcoming)	
7 8 9	alexspiro@quinnemanuel.com 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor New York, New York 10010 Telephone: (212) 849-7000	
10 11 12	Attorneys for Defendants TESLA, INC., ELON MUSK, BRAD W. BUSS, ROBYN DENHOLM, IRA EHRENPREIS, ANTONIO J. GRACIAS, JAMES MURDOCH, KIMBAL MUSK, and LINDA JOHNSON RICE	
13 14 15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16 17	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
18 19 20 21 22	IN RE TESLA, INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION	Case No. 3:18-cv-04865-EMC STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL (ECF NO. 351)
23 24		
25 26 27		
28		

1	Pursuant to Northern District of California Civil Local Rules 6-1 and 6-2, Defendants Tesla,
2	Inc., Elon R. Musk, Brad W. Buss, Robyn Denholm, Ira Ehrenpreis, Antonio J. Gracias, James
3	Murdoch, Kimbal Musk, and Linda Johnson Rice (collectively, "Defendants"), by and through their
4	undersigned counsel of record, submit the following stipulation and proposed order:
5	WHEREAS, on December 16, 2021, Defendants substituted their counsel to the firm of
6	Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP. (ECF No. 350);
7	WHEREAS, counsel for Defendants have been working diligently to fully complete this
8	transition and become familiarized with all issues in this case, including open discovery disputes
9	between the parties, and in particular those that relate to confidentiality designations;
10	WHEREAS, on January 11, 2022, Plaintiff Glen Littleton ("Lead Plaintiff"), filed a Partial
11	Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 352) and an Administration Motion to File Under Seal
12	(ECF No. 351) ("Motion to Seal");
13	WHEREAS, the Northern District of California's Civil Local Rule 79-5(f)(3) requires that
14	Defendants respond to the Motion to Seal in 7 days, or by January 18, 2022;
15	WHEREAS, given the amount of confidential and highly confidential material that has been
16	filed by Plaintiff in support of his Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, Defendants' counsel
17	require additional time to familiarize themselves with and assess the content of these materials in
18	order to respond to the Motion to Seal;
19	WHEREAS, the parties have conferred and Lead Plaintiff does not object to the provision
20	of additional time for Defendants to respond to the Motion to Seal.
21	NOW, THEREFORE, Defendants request that the Court enter an order providing
22	Defendants with 14 days to respond to the Motion to Seal, such that a response would now be due
23	on January 25, 2022.
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	Dated: January 14, 2022 LEVI & KORSINSKY, LLP	
2		
3	By: /S/ Adam Apton	
4	Adam Apton	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counsel for the Class	
6	Dated: January 13, 2022 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &	
7	SULLIVAN, LLP	
8		
9	By: <u>/S/ Michael T. Lifrak</u> MICHAEL T. LIFRAK	
10	Attorneys for Defendants	
11	* * * *	
12	I hereby attest that I obtained concurrence in the filing of this document from each of the	
13	other signatories on this e-filed document.	
14	Dated: January 14, 2022 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &	
15	SULLIVAN, LLP	
16	Dry /C/ Michael T. Lifeat	
17	By: <u>/S/ Michael T. Lifrak</u> MICHAEL T. LIFRAK	
18	* * * *	
19		
20	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
21	PURSUANT TO THE TERMS OF THE ABOVE STIPULATION, it is so ORDERED.	
22	Dated: January, 2022	
23	JUDGE EDWARD M. CHEN	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL 3:18-cv-04865-EMC	